## Case 3:10-cv-04234-SI Document 240 Filed 06/06/13 Page 1 of 5 Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document238 Filed05/28/13 Page1 of 5

1	IRELL & MANELLA LLP	
	Morgan Chu (CA Bar No. 70446) (mchu@irell.com)	
2	Jonathan S. Kagan (CA Bar No. 166039)	
3	(jkagan@irell.com)	
	1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900	
4	Los Angeles, California 90067-4276	
5	Telephone: (310) 277-1010	
	Facsimile: (310) 203-7199	
6	David C. McPhie (CA Bar No. 231520)	
7	(dmcphie@irell.com)	
	Douglas J. Dixon (CA Bar No. 275389)	
8	(ddixon@irell.com)	
9	Nima Hefazi (CA Bar No. 272816)	
	(nhefazi@irell.com) 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400	
10	Newport Beach, California 92660-6324	
11	Telephone: (949) 760-0991	
, ,	Facsimile: (949) 760-5200	
12		
13	Attorneys for Defendant	
, ,	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.	
14	Additional Attorneys Listed on Signature Page	
15	2	
16		
10	UNITED STATES DIST	
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCISCO	
18	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION
10		
19	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.,	
20	Plaintiff,	Case No. C 10-4234 SI
_	i idilitiii,	STIPULATION REGARDING
21	v.	AMENDED JUDGMENT AND
22	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	[PROPOSED] AMENDED JUDGMENT
	JOINIT ER NET WORKS, INC.,	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U
23	Defendant.	
24		
		I
25		
26		
27		
- 1		

Case No. C 10-4234 SI

STIPULATION REGARDING AMENDED JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12 and Rules 59 and/or 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Implicit Networks, Inc. ("Implicit") and Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc. ("Juniper") hereby stipulate through their respective counsel of record as follows:

WHEREAS, on March 13, 2013, the Court issued an order granting Juniper's motions for summary judgment of non-infringement and invalidity (Dkt. No. 205);

WHEREAS, on March 14, 2013, the Court entered judgment in this matter in favor of Juniper and against Implicit (Dkt. No. 206) ("Judgment");

WHEREAS, the Judgment does not expressly address Juniper's counterclaim for a declaratory judgment of unenforceability for the patents-in-suit (see Dkt. No. 25);

WHEREAS, under Federal Circuit precedent, a "pending unenforceability counterclaim renders the district court's judgment nonfinal for purposes of appeal" (Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc., 414 F.3d 1376, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2005));

WHEREAS, the parties agree that, for the avoidance of doubt, an amended judgment should be issued to avoid any unnecessary delay in the appeal proceedings and to ensure compliance with the final judgment rule;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Juniper's unenforceability counterclaim should be dismissed without prejudice as moot in light of the Court's summary judgment order;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties through their undersigned counsel stipulate and agree that the Court should enter the Amended Judgment submitted herewith.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: May 28, 2013

Respectfully and jointly submitted,

Nima Hefazi (CA Bar No. 272816)

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION REGARDING AMENDED JUDGMENT

1

<u>/s/ Nima Hefazi</u>

nhefazi@irell.com Irell & Manella LLP 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400

Newport Beach, CA 92660-6324

Case No. C 10-4234 SI

## Case 3:10-cv-04234-SI Document 240 Filed 06/06/13 Page 3 of 5 Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document238 Filed05/28/13 Page4 of 5

I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

DATED: 5/28/2013

/s/ Nima Hefazi

Nima Hefazi

Case 3:10-cv-04234-SI Document 240 Filed 06/06/13 Page 4 of 5 Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document238 Filed05/28/13 Page5 of 5

## [PROPOSED] AMENDED JUDGMENT

The Court hereby enters this amended judgment in favor of defendant Juniper Networks, Inc. ("Juniper") and against plaintiff Implicit Networks, Inc. ("Implicit") as follows:

- Claims 1, 15, and 35 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,629,163 and claims 1, 4, and 10 of
  U.S. Pat. No. 7,711,857 are found to be invalid and not infringed by Juniper
  for the reasons set forth in the Court's summary judgment order dated March
  13, 2013 (Dkt. No. 205).
- Juniper's counterclaim for a declaratory judgment of unenforceability is dismissed without prejudice as moot in light of the Court's summary judgment order.
- Juniper's request for an exceptional case finding and award of attorneys' fees under Section 285 is denied for the reasons set forth in Dkt. No. 237.

IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.

Dated: May \_\_\_\_\_, 2013

EDWARD M. CHEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Judge

[PROPOSED] AMENDED JUDGMENT

Case No. C 10-4234 SI

## Case 3:10-cv-04234-SI Document 240 Filed 06/06/13 Page 5 of 5 Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document238 Filed05/28/13 Page3 of 5

Attorneys for Defendant JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.

/s/ Spencer Hosie

Spencer Hosie (CA Bar No. 101777) shosie@hosielaw.com
HOSIE RICE LLP
Transamerica Pyramid, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 247-6000 Tel.
(415) 247-6001 Fax

Attorneys for Plaintiff IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.